UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,330	09/23/2003	John Hane	57132.000007	1649
21967 HUNTON & W	7590 02/27/200 YILLIAMS LLP	9	EXAM	IINER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT HUNNINGS, TRAVIS R			, TRAVIS R	
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	1, N.W.		57132.000007 1649 EXAMINER HUNNINGS, TRAVIS R ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2612	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006-1109		2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/667,330	HANE, JOHN			
interview Guillinary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TRAVIS R. HUNNINGS	2612			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>TRAVIS R. HUNNINGS</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Dalei Dong</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 25 February 2009.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)□ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lemons, Shere, Sweatt</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) ≥ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the overall structure of the invention was discussed, specifically the novelty of the claim as a whole instead of simply a collection of pieces. examiner agreed to look more closely at the combination of the references in terms of the communication structure that each one disclosed/taught.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTELLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPOLY.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	been filed, APPI / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS		
	/TRAVIS R HUNNINGS/ AU2612				